



UNIVERSITY OF
OXFORD

**FACULTY OF
ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE**

**English Language and Literature
Prelims Handbook
2020 - 2021**

1 FOREWORD

1.1 Welcome

A warm welcome to your studies with the Faculty of English at Oxford. We are very proud of what we can offer you through your course. We have more tutors and students than any other Faculty of English in the UK and we have been ranked first in the world in our subject for the last three years. Our strength lies in the range of material we cover and the individual attention we can give you to help you find your way. We aim to spark your interest and develop your talents to make you the best critics you can be. Your studies here will be stimulating, testing, challenging, and fun, in equal measure. Oxford has extraordinary resources for the study of English literature and culture; it has outstanding libraries and museums and collections, both famous and little-known; a host of entertainment venues to expand your cultural horizons; beautiful and inspiring buildings; and lovely countryside within reach. There are countless opportunities to learn at Oxford and lots of willing brilliant minds to guide you through them. Do not be afraid to seize every chance and to ask questions. I wish you all the best for your time here.

Professor Ros Ballaster

Mansfield College

Chair of the English Faculty Board.

1.2 Covid-19

As you will be aware, the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic means that during the 2020-21 academic year the teaching arrangements for your course are being adapted to ensure the safety and wellbeing of students and staff whilst maintaining an excellent learning experience. The academic aims, design and content of your course will remain in place, but there will be changes to the ways in which teaching is delivered, particularly during Michaelmas Term. Whilst this means that your experience of the course will be different to normal, your Faculty and the Humanities Division are confident you will receive an excellent standard of education through a range of complementary teaching methods.

A great deal of careful planning has taken place before the start of your programme to ensure you receive the best possible learning experience and that you benefit from the resources, services and facilities available to you at Oxford. This handbook will support you with detailed guidance on teaching and assessment for your course and will be an important point of reference for you throughout the year. Please bear in mind, however, that the changing nature of the pandemic and its impact on the University means that the standard information below may not always reflect the specific adaptations that become necessary during 2020-21.

During Michaelmas Term in particular we ask you to bear in mind that circumstances may change at short notice, and that the English Faculty may need to alter, adapt or postpone teaching sessions for reasons beyond our control. All efforts will be made to minimise disruption and maintain the continuity of your course experience. Please ensure that you pay close attention to email

communication, remain in regular contact with your key Faculty contacts (details below), and check the University's [Covid-19 webpages](#) regularly to follow the latest institutional-level guidance.

This is a challenging year in which to be commencing your course at Oxford, but it also an opportunity to develop new study skills and to collaborate with fellow students and academic staff in developing innovative and engaging educational perspectives on your course. We are looking forward to working with you to make 2020-21 a success.

Assessment in 2020-21

Because social distancing measures make it impossible to hold invigilated in-person exams this academic year, the Faculty has agreed the following replacement for the three-hour exams that are the normal assessment for several of our papers. In 2020-21 three-hour invigilated in-person exams will be replaced by open-book, open-web exams, with an 8-hour submission window (9-5), to write essays and commentaries within the word range of 1200 to 2000 words. There will be no marks taken off for under or over-length scripts, but examiners will not read beyond 2000 words, and it should be noted that essays or commentaries of under 1200 words are unlikely to be able to display at the highest level the qualities assessed by the marking criteria.

This time span is designed to include the download and reading of the exam paper, the writing of the essays or commentaries, and the normal break, refreshment and rest periods one would take in a working day. The purpose of the exercise is to produce three 'exam-length' essays or commentaries, of the kind that could have been written in an exam hall in three hours, while establishing that the open-book, open-web exam includes allowance for time to consult notes and texts, to marshal resources, and to manage the technical aspects of the task without excessive time pressure.

Because these exams cannot be invigilated, we are relying on your honesty and integrity. When you submit your work, you will agree to an honour code which asserts that your answers will be wholly and only your own work. All cited sources (including dictionaries and glossaries) need to be acknowledged, but the level of detail required is minimal (much less than for coursework submissions). [Further details are available on Canvas.](#)

Whilst it is naturally permissible in the course of an open-book exam to consult work written during the course of your studies (e.g., lecture notes or tutorial essays), students are strongly discouraged from pasting text from such material into their exam answers. Relevance to the question is a key assessment criterion, and blocks of pre-written material by definition cannot address this. Such uncurated use of previously produced material also carries the risk of committing inadvertent academic misconduct, such as collusion and plagiarism, especially if parts of the material are derived from other sources (e.g., notes or essays written by someone else, or direct quotations contained within notes).

The format of the examinations this year was agreed following consultation with teaching staff, students, the Student Registry, and the Disability Advisory Service. Students who believe they may require adjustments to the examination on the basis of disability should consult their Disability Advisory Service contact or their college advisor to discuss their situation. While we expect most

students to be resident in Oxford for their exams, further information will be provided for any students taking exams in different time zones.

1.3 Statement of coverage

This handbook applies to students starting the course in Michaelmas Term 2020. The information in this handbook may be different for students starting in other years.

1.4 Version

Version	Details	Date
1.0	Handbook published.	10/10/2020
1.1	Updated with additional information about open book exams in 2020-21 (sections 1.2, 3.1, 3.1.6.1, 3.1.7, 3.1.8, and 3.1.15), including mode of completion and late penalties.	21/01/2021

1.5 Disclaimer

The Examination Regulations relating to this course are available at <http://www.admin.ox.ac.uk/examregs/>. If there is a conflict between information in this handbook and the Examination Regulations then you should follow the Examination Regulations. If you have any concerns please contact the Undergraduate Studies Office in the English Faculty.

The information in this handbook is accurate as at the time of publication. However, it may be necessary for changes to be made in certain circumstances, as explained at www.ox.ac.uk/coursechanges. If such changes are made the department will publish a new version of this handbook together with a list of the changes and students will be informed.

1.6 List of contents

1	Foreword	1
1.1	Welcome	1
1.2	Covid-19	1
1.3	Statement of coverage	3
1.4	Version	3
1.5	Disclaimer	3
1.6	List of contents	4
2	Course Information	6
2.1	Overview	6
2.2	Educational Aims of the BA in English Language and Literature	6
2.3	Intended learning outcomes	7
2.4	Course structure	12
2.5	Recommended Pattern of Teaching	12
2.6	Paper 1: Introduction to English Language and Literature	13
2.7	Paper 2: Early Medieval Literature, c. 650 - 1350	14
2.8	Paper 3: Literature in English 1830 – 1910	16
2.9	Paper 4: Literature in English 1910 – present day	17
3	Studying	18
3.1	Examination Conventions	18
3.1.1	Marking and Classification Criteria	18
3.1.2	Criteria for Examination Questions	18
3.1.3	Verification and reconciliation of marks	23
3.1.4	Scaling of marks	23
3.1.5	Short weight convention and departure from rubric	23
3.1.6	Penalties for late or non-submission of portfolio essays	24
3.1.7	Penalties for under-length and over-length portfolio essays	25
3.1.8	Penalties for under-length and over-length open-book examination scripts	25
3.1.9	Penalties for poor academic practice	25
3.1.10	Penalties for non-attendance	25
3.1.11	Resits	25
3.1.12	Mitigating Circumstances	26
3.1.13	Details of examiners and rules on communicating with examiners	26

3.1.14	Old English & Early Middle English character protocol for timed exams	26
3.1.15	Mode of completion	27
3.2	Good academic practice	27
3.3	Presentation and word limits of portfolio essays	27
3.3.1	Word limits and appendices	27
3.4	References and Bibliography	28
3.4.1	Sample bibliography of style handbooks	28
3.4.2	Modern Humanities Research Association (MHRA) referencing	29
3.4.3	Citing the OED	33
3.4.4	Citation of Objects in Written Work	34
3.4.5	Text Analysis Tools	35
3.4.6	Bibliographies	35
3.4.7	Online Resources	36
4	About the Faculty	37
4.1	Key Contacts and the Faculty Office	37
4.2	Committees and Decision-making within the Faculty	38
4.3	The Undergraduate Joint Consultative Committee (JCC)	39
4.4	Evaluation and feedback	39
4.5	The St Cross Building	40
4.6	Complaints	41
4.7	Career development	42
5	Appendices	44
5.1	Equality and Diversity at Oxford	44
5.2	Student Welfare and Support Services	44
5.3	Lecture Recording	45
5.3.1	EULA (End User Licencing Agreement)	45
5.3.2	Notice of Recording	46
5.4	EMERGENCY INFORMATION	47
5.4.1	Oxford University Security Services	47

2 COURSE INFORMATION

2.1 Overview

This handbook covers the Preliminary Examination in English Language and Literature, the first year of BA (Hons) English Language and Literature award. Undergraduate awards are located at Levels 4, 5 and 6 of the Frameworks for Higher Education Qualifications. The Subject Benchmark Statement for English can be found at <https://www.qaa.ac.uk/docs/qaa/subject-benchmark-statements/sbs-english-15.pdf>

This handbook contains essential information about the Faculty and the course that you will need to refer to on a regular basis throughout the next year. You can find further useful information on the Faculty's Canvas pages for undergraduates at:

<https://login.canvas.ox.ac.uk/>

The subject matter of period papers is described as 'Literature in English'. Although most of your work, and exam questions, will focus on authors from the British Isles, students are welcome to study texts written outside these countries, in consultation with their tutors. You might find that there is greater scope for studying non-British authors on later period papers, where American and postcolonial texts are particularly important.

In some papers, you might like to write on texts which were not originally written in English. For all Prelims papers, apart from Paper 1b, the general rule is that you may write on such texts for no more than one-third of the paper. If discussing texts not originally in English, you should always assume that the examiner does not have knowledge of the original language.

Where authors' dates span the period paper boundary, you should discuss with your tutor where their work more appropriately falls given your interests. In the exam, it is perfectly acceptable to discuss the work of a cross-period author within either of the periods their work straddles, depending on how you wish to interpret it. You must not, however, include it in both periods.

If you have any issues with teaching or supervision please raise these as soon as possible so that they can be addressed promptly. Details of who to contact are provided in section [4.1](#) of this handbook.

2.2 Educational Aims of the BA in English Language and Literature

The programme aims to enable and encourage its students to:

- i) read widely, acquiring knowledge of written texts in most or all periods of English literary history;
- ii) develop as independent learners and thinkers;
- iii) develop their critical, analytical and comparative skills by engagement with a wide range of texts written in English;

- iv) pursue a curriculum that is broad and balanced in respect of historical and generic range, analytical approach, depth, and conceptual sophistication;
- v) acquire knowledge and understanding of the expressive resources of the English language and the ways in which this relates to and impacts on the production of literary texts;
- vi) develop skills in the marshalling and deployment of evidence, and in the oral and written exposition of complex ideas through discursive analysis and argument;
- vii) develop understanding of the relationship between literary theory and practice, including an awareness of debates regarding the acts of reading and writing;
- viii) think critically and in an historicised manner about the complex relationship between literary texts and their social, political, cultural and other relevant contexts;
- ix) develop their understanding of the formal and aesthetic dimensions of literary texts;
- x) acquire intellectual and personal skills which are transferable to a wide range of employment contexts and life experiences;
- xi) select and analyse appropriate examples; weigh evidence; investigate, analyse, and assess competing historical and critical viewpoints;
- xii) engage and enhance their enthusiasm for the subject and their awareness of its social and cultural importance;
- xiii) be appropriately prepared for further academic work in English or related disciplines.

2.3 Intended learning outcomes

A. Knowledge and understanding

Students will develop a knowledge and understanding of:

- literature written in English between the early Medieval period and the present day;
- aspects of the use of the English language in literary and other texts in modern and / or earlier times, based on an appropriate level of theoretical understanding;
- the intellectual processes involved in the collection and deployment of primary evidence in literary criticism and scholarship;
- a precise and professional technical vocabulary, appropriately deployed;
- some aspects of literary theory, and of the history of literary criticism;
- processes of literary production and dissemination operative in different historical periods.

Related teaching/learning methods and strategies:

Teaching is by means of Faculty lectures and classes, alongside tutorials and classes arranged by students' colleges. The general Faculty lectures and classes (open to the whole University) offer

instruction in and demonstrations of the application of critical method to literary materials. Faculty seminars are also the vehicle for delivering one of the third-year extended essay papers and are an opportunity for group analysis and discussion of a specific literary or linguistic subject area. College classes (typically about 8 students) may address contextual or textual issues and will encourage assimilation of material and oral analysis and exposition. The tutorial (typically 2 students) will focus on written essays and will often allow the student's own writing to set the intellectual agenda. The essay will form the basis for a wide-ranging discussion; it tests, on a regular (but non-examined) basis, the students' developing abilities in assimilation and analysis, presentation and persuasiveness.

Classes and tutorials, and preparatory work for them, require active learning from the student. The course requires students to read and analyse literature from a very wide range of historical periods and in most recognised literary genres. Cumulatively it allows students to develop their own intellectual archive of texts, approaches and contexts, and encourages them to synthesise, historicise and compare writings across the complete history of literature in English while also allowing work in other Anglophone literatures. While not making obligatory any explicitly theorised syllabus content, the course expects all students to develop a sensitised awareness of theoretical issues by exposure through lectures and other forms of teaching to a wide range of theoretical and ideological approaches to literary and cultural history. In Year 1 students study a core skills-led paper and three period-based papers. The skills-led paper is studied concurrently with, and supports, the period-based papers. The period papers' avoidance of set texts (except for commentary work in the Medieval Prelims and FHS papers) encourages wide reading, gives the students freedom to negotiate their own portfolio of authors and allows exploration and innovation alongside study of the 'canon', all within the parameters of guided tutorial work. Work in subsequent years completes the core of period papers and allows more specialised study of specific genres and authors, whilst also requiring compulsory work on Middle English language. Skills are developed cumulatively and are embedded in the assumptions and expectations of the syllabus.

Assessment:

Formally, aspects of the required knowledge and understanding are tested through written University examinations held during the course of the third and ninth terms, portfolios submitted in the third and eighth terms, and by two extended essays submitted in the seventh and eighth terms. At college level, extensive preparation for the organisation and communication of such knowledge and understanding is provided by highly personalised formative assessment offered in (typically) weekly tutorials and by practice examinations set and marked by college tutors. All colleges also have a regime of termly report writing that offers regular valuable feedback and formative assessment to the student.

B. Skills and other attributes

Students will have the opportunity to develop the following skills during the course:

i. Intellectual skills:

The ability to:

- listen and read with an open but critical mind;
- exercise critical judgment and undertake sophisticated synthesis, analysis and evaluation of varying kinds of evidence;
- read closely, analytically, and with understanding, texts from a wide range of historical periods and in many different styles and genres;
- argue persuasively and with appropriate illustration and evidence, both orally and in writing;
- approach literary texts and critical issues with imagination, sensitivity and creativity;
- develop independence of mind, including an ability to challenge received opinion.

Teaching/learning methods and strategies:

There is emphasis throughout the programme on the skills relevant to the careful and critical reading and exegesis of primary texts and of secondary studies. These include the ability to gather, sift, and assess evidence, and the development of sophisticated skills of literary criticism.

Faculty lectures will aim to demonstrate the professional deployment of these skills in high-level analysis of texts and contexts, ideas and ideologies. The skills of presentation and discussion are honed within the tutorial context, and in classes at college and Faculty level. Student essays and presentations must demonstrate the ability to identify issues, and to marshal evidence and analysis in a logical and coherent way. These attributes, allied to the exercise of disciplined imagination, are regarded as essential if students are to comprehend the often disparate and unfamiliar values and expectations of past cultures and their texts. All learning strategies are designed to inculcate these skills of independent thought and expression, although they will be displayed and tested most obviously in college tutorials and classes.

Assessment:

The formative assessment provided by classes and tutorials is critical to the development and monitoring of the intellectual skills set out here. In tutorials, students are subjected to regular scrutiny on these skills through presentation and defence of written essay material in front of an established academic literary scholar and one or more of the student's peer group. In classes, presentations to a larger group of peers need to be thorough, professional, appropriately pitched, and critically and textually persuasive.

ii. Practical skills

- advanced literacy and communication skills (oral and written) and the ability to apply these for specific audiences and in appropriate contexts;

- the ability to acquire, process, order and deploy large quantities of information (literary, theoretical, contextual and critical);
- active learning;
- critical and self-reflective reasoning;
- research and bibliographic skills, developed through guidance and allowing independent critical working of a high order of reliability and accuracy;
- IT skills such as word-processing, and the ability to access, manipulate and assess electronic data;
- group working and presentation skills through seminar and class participation.

Teaching/learning methods and strategies

Classes and tutorials require constant verbal and written interaction with peers and tutors in differently constituted audiences. Longer extended essays require fuller documentation than timed examination papers. Guidance is given through Faculty lectures on preparation for such long essays, and Faculty Handbooks and college guidance offer assistance with communication and study skills. There are induction sessions at Faculty and college levels, covering both study skills and IT skills. There are regular opportunities for the development of new skills (e.g. through Faculty and University IT training or the University Language Centre). The Faculty's employment of different modes of assessment, and the imposition of regular long and short term deadlines throughout the course, demand a high level of time management and a commitment to managing personal learning.

Assessment

Formative assessment is offered both through the college tutorial, in which the tutor will give feedback on the weekly essays submitted, and through presentations given regularly in college and Faculty classes. These enable continuous monitoring of the development of practical skills. Timed examinations, two portfolios (of 4,000 and 6,000 words), a 6,000 word extended essay and an 8,000 word dissertation require different strategies of learning and organisation, and encourage the development of a range of writing skills. Termly tutorial reports identify points of excellence and of concern, e.g. the ability to present and defend an argument or thesis convincingly and cogently. More formal assessment through college practice examinations provide opportunities to assess and provide feedback on skills associated with timed written examinations.

iii. Transferable skills

At the end of the programme the student should be able to:

- find information, organise and deploy it;

- draw on such information and, with a trained analytical intelligence, explore complex issues in ways that are imaginative yet sensitive to the integrity of the materials under discussion and the needs of different target audiences;
- formulate opinions and argue these confidently, whilst remaining appropriately responsive to the ideas of others;
- work well independently, with a strong sense of self-direction, but with the ability to work constructively in co-operation with others;
- effectively structure and communicate ideas in a variety of written and oral formats;
- analyse and critically examine different forms of discourse;
- plan and organise the use of time effectively, particularly in relation to the weekly timetable of tutorials and associated essays;
- where relevant, make appropriate use of language and IT skills.

Teaching/learning methods and strategies

The programme requires:

- information retrieval and highly competent bibliographic work, including the informed use of IT. (This is integral to all aspects of the programme which, although providing guidance and reading lists, also requires students to exercise their initiative and research skills as active learners to explore available resources);
- the ability to present ideas effectively and to respond to the ideas of others constructively. (Tutorials, classes and lectures each require different forms of engagement with ideas and arguments);
- the ability to produce material within time constraints and against tight deadlines, whether within the framework of the written examination, in submitting the extended essays, or in the programme of tutorials and classes;
- independent work in preparing for tutorials and extended essays, and more collaborative work in classes organised by the Faculty and within colleges;

Assessment

The transferable skills identified above are essential elements of the programme. As such their presence or absence is the focus of much of the regular comment provided by tutors in their contacts with students; and in the varying modes of formative assessment and formal feedback provided to students throughout the course. They are implicit in timed examination papers and highly relevant to the Faculty's classification criteria.

2.4 Course structure

Four compulsory papers in year one.

Year 1			
Paper 1: Introduction to English Language and Literature			
Paper 2: Early Medieval Literature, c. 650 – 1350			
Paper 3: Literature in English 1830 – 1910			
Paper 4: Literature in English 1910 – present day			
Course I		Course II	
Year 2	Year 3	Year 2	Year 3
Paper 1: Shakespeare		Paper 1: Literature in English 650-1100	Paper 6: Special Options
Paper 2: Literature in English 1350-1550	Paper 6: Special Options	Paper 2: Medieval English and related Literatures 1066-1550	Paper 7: Dissertation
Paper 3: Literature in English 1550-1660	Paper 7: Dissertation	Paper 3: Literature in English 1350-1550	
Paper 4: Literature in English 1660-1760		Paper 4: History of the English Language to c. 1800	
Paper 5: Literature in English 1760-1830		Paper 5: Shakespeare OR The Material Text	

Details concerning Year 2 and Year 3 of the course are contained within the corresponding FHS handbook, and further information regarding teaching staff, lecture timetable and course resources can be found on the Faculty Canvas pages.

2.5 Recommended Pattern of Teaching

Paper	English Faculty		College		Comments
	Lectures	Classes	Tutorials	Classes	
Paper 1: Introduction to English Language and Literature	16		4	8	<p><i>This is a guide to the typical pattern of tutorials and classes offered by colleges. The actual number of classes or tutorials may vary between colleges. All papers are supplemented by optional Faculty lectures.</i></p> <p>There is a course of 16 compulsory core lectures which run weekly through</p>

					Michaelmas and Hilary terms. Colleges supplement these by classes and tutorials spread over those two terms.
Paper 2: Early Medieval Literature, c. 650 - 1350			6	12	
Paper 3: Literature in English 1830 – 1910			6	4	
Paper 4: Literature in English 1910 – present day			6	4	

2.6 Paper 1: Introduction to English Language and Literature

This paper is intended to introduce you to English language and literature as a discipline, and to a variety of approaches to reading texts. It will introduce you to formal study of the English language, with particular reference to its historical development, its use as a literary medium, and the role of cultural and social factors on its development and use. The paper will also acquaint you with a wide range of theoretical issues and reading skills, but in doing so seeks to encourage you to think for yourself and to exercise critical scrutiny.

The English Faculty Library's Guide to Prelims 1: <http://ox.libguides.com/english-prelims-paper-1> contains direct links to an invaluable range of online and bibliographic resources. Many of these are essential for work on the language section of the paper.

There is a course of 16 core lectures which run weekly through Michaelmas and Hilary terms. The lectures in Michaelmas Term will cover topics relating to literature, and those in Hilary Term will cover language.

Colleges will normally supplement these by eight college classes spread over those two terms, and by four tutorials. This college teaching will give you the opportunity to practise written work for your portfolio examination.

NOTE: College tutors will not necessarily base classes and tutorials on the weekly lecture topics and the further reading that accompanies them. The lectures are designed to introduce topics and to suggest approaches to them. Your college work will supplement and challenge what you have learnt in lectures. You will be expected to make connections between and around the lecture topics, and you will want to think about how studying for this paper informs and enriches your first year work as a whole.

Assessment

Assessment for this paper will be by portfolio. The examination paper, consisting of a section on language and a section on literature, will be released by the Faculty on Monday of week 4 of Trinity Term. Your portfolio will consist of two pieces of written work of between 1,500 and 2,000 words each (including footnotes but excluding bibliography). The portfolio must be submitted online on Thursday of week 5, Trinity Term.

You are required to choose one question from each of the two sections. Questions in the language section require an answer in the form of a commentary. This commentary is based on texts that you choose for yourself. You should be careful to select textual material that meets the precise terms of the question you have chosen to answer. **Copies of the texts or passages used must be included as an appendix to the portfolio, and the combined length of all texts you have chosen must not exceed 70 lines in total.** Texts or passages should be clearly annotated with line numbers (e.g. every five lines); it is fine to do so by hand. You should also list a line length for each text as well as a total line length for all appended material.

Questions in the literature section require an answer in the form of an essay.

You must avoid duplicating material used in this paper when answering other papers, ie. if writing on a text or extract from a text under this paper, you may not write on the same text under any other Prelims paper. In addition, you are not permitted to duplicate material between the two sections of the portfolio.

Information on presentation and referencing requirements for portfolio essays may be found in sections [3.3](#) and [3.4](#) of this handbook.

2.7 Paper 2: Early Medieval Literature, c. 650 - 1350

This paper introduces literatures characterized by astonishing invention and innovation. In 650 Britain and Ireland comprised numerous competing kingdoms. Christianity, introduced to England in the late sixth century by missionaries from the Roman and Celtic Churches, was assuming a dominant religious and cultural influence. Languages and cultures mingled and clashed, including early English dialects, Irish, Welsh, Pictish, Old Norse, Byzantine, and varieties of Latin. This is the time of the earliest extant English poem ascribed to a named author, Cædmon, yet the seventh century also produced, in Bede, the finest scholar in Europe at the time; in Aldhelm one of the most talented of poets (he wrote in Latin); and at Canterbury the best school in northern Europe, run by an abbot born in North Africa and an archbishop from Tarsus (in what is now south-east Turkey).

By 1350 England was a powerful nation with imperial ambitions, embroiled in wars with Scotland and France, but also ravaged by the Black Death of 1348. King Edward III and his court chroniclers and poets drew upon an extensive cultural and literary heritage, in which history and fiction blended, consciously emulating the legendary King Arthur, holding tournaments and festivals in celebration of court, knighthood and chivalry. And around the middle of the whole period comes the Norman Conquest of 1066 and the subsequent colonization of England and, in time, Wales and parts of Ireland. The Norman castles and cathedrals still prominent in many towns are only one kind of material legacy from 650–1350, in which the development of literacy, manuscript production, the legal system, schools and universities including Oxford gave huge scope for artistic and literary creativity.

The Norman Conquest changed English language and literature profoundly, and helps mark a shift between what we call Old English (to c.1100) and Early Middle English (c.1100 to c.1300 or so). However, it was one of numerous moments of political and demographic change, starting with

Germanic migration before this period begins, and including Viking and Danish raiders, settlers and rulers, religious and mercantile travellers, and a significant community of Jews, who became subject to persecution and were eventually expelled in the late thirteenth century. At the same time, successive attempts to capture and control contested holy sites such as Jerusalem fed the development of religious and racial, including racist, ideologies. Throughout this period, questions of identity and belonging are probed across a vast range of literary forms: lyric and epic; debate and dialogue; riddles; secular and saintly biographies; fable and vision; sermons and sagas; history and romance.

In the 8 hour online, open book examination you will write two essays, and will be able to choose between writing a critical commentary on a passage of either Old or Early Middle English. The passages set will be taken from the following recommended texts.

i. Old English (All in Bruce Mitchell and Fred C. Robinson, *A Guide to Old English* (Oxford, 2012), 8th edition.)

- *The Dream of the Rood*
- *The Battle of Maldon*
- *The Wanderer*
- an extract from *Beowulf* ('Beowulf's fight with Grendel', lines 702–897)

ii. Early Middle English

- *The Owl and the Nightingale*, an extract (in John Burrow and Thorlac Turville-Petre, *A Book of Middle English*, 3rd edn (Oxford, 2005), lines 1–390)
- *Lazamon's Brut*, an extract (in W.R.J. Barron and S.C. Weinberg, *Layamon's Arthur* (Exeter, 2001), lines 13971–14297)
- *Havelok*, an extract (in Ronald B. Herzman, Graham Drake, and Eve Salisbury, *Four Romances of England* (Kalamazoo, 1999), lines 2512–2855)
- *Ancrene Wisse*, an extract (in Robert Hasenfratz, *Ancrene Wisse* (Kalamazoo, 2000) Part 7, lines 1–194).

(Annotated editions of all of these texts and extracts are available on Canvas)

On the examination paper candidates will be able to choose from a total of four commentary passages each year (two Old English and two Early Middle English). You will be expected to comment on aspects of content and style and to show that you have a good understanding of either Old or Early Middle English as a literary language.

The essay questions will tend to be based on topics rather than individual authors. You can answer them with reference to either Old or Early Middle English literature, or by comparative consideration of the two. You are expected to focus closely on the recommended texts (Old and/or Early Middle English) in your work for this paper as a whole, but there is also scope to read beyond the recommended texts and to work more broadly on some of the major preoccupations of the

literature of the period c. 650–1350. You may write an essay on the text on which you also write a commentary, but if you do so you must not repeat material. You must show substantial knowledge of at least THREE texts across the two essays. You may write on texts in languages other than English (e.g. Latin, Anglo-Norman, Old Norse) for up to one third of this paper (e.g. all of one essay, or a portion of both essays). However, you are required to show substantial knowledge of Old English and/or Early Middle English language in BOTH Section A and Section B of the paper.

2.8 Paper 3: Literature in English 1830 – 1910

This paper examines literature in English from roughly 1830 to 1910, though you are permitted to look at material earlier and later than these boundaries in order to make sense of any particular writer's development. The essay questions in the examination tend to be based on topics, rather than authors. This gives you the opportunity to write across a range of authors, focusing on some of the major preoccupations, both thematic and stylistic, of the period. Alternatively, you may choose to focus each of your examination answers on the work of only one or two authors. Issues that you might choose to cover could include (for example) the development of realism, responses to industrialism, women's writing, concepts of identity and selfhood, guilt and transgression, memory and uses of the past, verbal and metrical experimentation, attitudes towards nation, race and Empire, decadence, the roots of modernism, symbolism, science, religion, class, domesticity, writing for children and the treatment of childhood, romance, popular fiction, melodrama, the social problem play, drama and identity, theatre and performance issues, the relationship between literature and art. These are only some of the possible topics that might legitimately be studied for this paper; there is no set list of texts or topics you are expected to cover.

Among the authors you might consider studying are the following: Arnold, Braddon, the Brontës, Elizabeth Barrett Browning, Robert Browning, Carlyle, Carroll, Clough, Wilkie Collins, Conrad, Dickens, Emily Dickinson, Frederick Douglass, George Eliot, Emerson, Margaret Fuller, Elizabeth Gaskell, George Gissing, Hardy, Nathaniel Hawthorne, Hopkins, Harriet Jacobs, Henry James, Melville, Meredith, John Stuart Mill, Newman, Pater, Patmore, Charlotte Perkins Gilman, Poe, Christina Rossetti, Ruskin, Olive Schreiner, Shaw, R. L. Stevenson, Swinburne, Harriet Beecher Stowe, Tennyson, Thackeray, Thoreau, Twain, H. G. Wells, Wharton, Whitman, and Oscar Wilde. However, you may also choose to study groups of writers or particular genres, such as spasmodic poetry, Gothic, the dramatic monologue, elegy, and so on.

Candidates are encouraged to read widely within the period. You may discuss any literature written in the English language: there are no exclusions based on the author's citizenship, country of origin, or residence.

Structure of the examination

This paper is examined by an 8 hour online, open book exam. Students will be expected to answer three essay questions, and to show substantial knowledge of the work of at least three authors. Do not repeat material. You should NOT write more than one essay substantially on the same author.

2.9 Paper 4: Literature in English 1910 – present day

This paper examines 20th and 21st century literature. The essay questions in the examination tend to be based on topics, rather than authors. This gives you the opportunity to write across a range of authors, focusing on some of the major thematic and stylistic preoccupations of the period. Alternatively you may choose to focus each of your examination answers on the work of only one or two authors.

Issues that you might choose to cover would include (for example) modernism, post-modernism, ideas of literary language, postcolonialism, literary experimentalism, primitivism, national (and other) identities, popular culture, concepts of literary value, journalism, gender, intertextuality, literature and other art forms, technology, innovations in modern theatre, war literature, and representations of the city.

Among the authors you might consider studying are Achebe, Atwood, Auden, James Baldwin, Djuna Barnes, Beckett, Bishop, Bowen, Kamau Brathwaite, Caryl Churchill, Coetzee, Conrad, DeLillo, Duffy, T. S. Eliot, William Faulkner, Forster, Friel, Greene, Heaney, Hemingway, Hill, Langston Hughes, Ted Hughes, Joyce, Larkin, Lawrence, Lessing, Mamet, Mansfield, Miller, Toni Morrison, Muldoon, Nabokov, Naipaul, Ondaatje, Orwell, Osborne, Pinter, Plath, the poets of the two World Wars, Pound, Roth, Rushdie, Shaw, Soyinka, Stein, Stoppard, Dylan Thomas, Walcott, Waugh, Tennessee Williams, Woolf, and Yeats.

Candidates are encouraged to read widely within the period. You may discuss any literature written in the English language: there are no exclusions based on the author's citizenship, country of origin, or residence.

Structure of the examination

This paper is examined by an 8 hour online, open book exam. Students will be expected to answer three essay questions, and to show substantial knowledge of the work of at least three authors. Do not repeat material. You should NOT write more than one essay substantially on the same author.

3 STUDYING

3.1 Examination Conventions

Exam conventions are the formal record of the specific assessment standards for the course or courses to which they apply. They set out how your examined work will be marked and how the resulting marks will be used to arrive at a final result and classification of your award. They include information on: marking scales, marking and classification criteria, scaling of marks, progression, resits, penalties for late submission and penalties for over-length work.

Examination conventions for ELL are reviewed annually by the Teaching Committee.

For specific information about open book exams, and the impact of Covid-19 during the academic year 2020-21, please refer to sections [1.2](#), [3.1.6](#), 3.1.7 and 3.1.8.

3.1.1 Marking and Classification Criteria

The Preliminary examination is not classified, but has three categories: Distinction, Pass, and Fail. They are designed to ensure that students are sufficiently prepared to proceed to the Honours degree in the second and third years. To this end, all papers must gain a pass mark of 40 or above. If you do not achieve the pass mark for one or two papers you may re-take them at a subsequent examination, or if you do not achieve the pass mark for three or four papers you must re-take all papers at a subsequent examination. Short weight (incomplete) work will be penalised by a proportionate reduction of the mark(s). The maximum deduction that can be made for short weight will be equivalent to the proportion of the answer that is missing.

These are the marks profiles for English Prelims:

Distinction	Two marks of 70 and above; no mark below 60; an average of 67
Pass	Marks of 40 and above on all papers

All papers are equally weighted, and an average is taken.

Please also find below the Humanities Division marking criteria and mark descriptors for assessed work.

3.1.2 Criteria for Examination Questions

These criteria will be used in marking all timed examination papers in both public examinations and in the marking of College Collections.

Engagement	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- incisiveness of engagement with the question;- depth and sophistication of comprehension of issues and implications of the question;
-------------------	---

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - relevant awareness of literary history and theory and critical traditions; - directness of answer to the question; - grasp and handling of critical materials.
Argument	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - coherence of argument; - analytical clarity and power; - intellectually incisive argument and sophistication of conceptualization; - independence of argument; - quality of critical analysis of text in the service of argument.
Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - relevance of deployment of information; - depth, precision and detail of evidence cited; - accuracy of facts; - relevant knowledge of primary texts.
Organisation & Presentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - clarity and coherence of structure; - clarity, fluency and elegance of prose; - correctness of grammar, spelling, and punctuation.

Criteria for Portfolio Essays

These criteria will be used in marking portfolio essays in English Preliminary public examinations.

Engagement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - identification and clear delineation of a subject, appropriate to a 1,500-2,000 word essay; - close attention to the terms of the set theme or question; - for the commentary essay, appropriateness of choice of passages for commentary and the imagination and initiative shown in that choice; - awareness of relevant linguistic, theoretical and critical methods
-------------------	--

	<p>and traditions;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - precise and appropriate deployment of linguistic terminology as appropriate; - depth and sophistication of comprehension of and engagement with issues; - grasp and handling of linguistic, theoretical and critical materials.
Argument	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - coherence of argument; - analytical clarity and power; - intellectually incisive argument and sophistication of conceptualization; - independence of argument; - quality of linguistic, theoretical or critical analysis (as appropriate) of text in the service of argument.
Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - use of primary texts; - relevance of information deployed; - depth, precision, detail and accuracy of evidence cited; - relevant knowledge of primary texts.
Organisation & Presentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - clarity and coherence of structure; - clarity, fluency and elegance of prose; - correctness of grammar, spelling, and punctuation; - correctness of apparatus and form of footnotes and bibliography.

Mark descriptors

Numerical Marks	Category	Criteria: Examination scripts	Criteria: Portfolio Essays
86+	Distinction	Outstanding work of marked independence and sophistication.	Work of a very high standard, excellent handling of scholarly apparatus, wide-ranging research, command of a wide range of primary and secondary material. Excellent choice of subject and handling of arguments to suit the limits of a 1,500-2,000-word essay.
80-85	Distinction	Scripts will excel across the range of criteria.	Essays will excel across the range of the criteria.
75-79	Distinction	Scripts will excel in more than one area, and be at least highly competent in other respects. That is, they must be excellent for some combination of sophisticated engagement with the issues, incisiveness of argument and critical analysis, and quality of knowledge, as well as being presented clearly and coherently. Truly outstanding features may compensate for mere high-competence elsewhere.	Essays will excel in more than one area, and be at least highly competent in other respects. That is, they must be excellent for some combination of the quality of choice and delineation of an appropriate subject, incisiveness of argument and critical analysis, quality of primary evidence, textual and otherwise, on display, as well as being presented clearly and coherently. Truly outstanding features may compensate for mere high-competence elsewhere.
70-74	Distinction	Scripts will be at least very highly competent across the board, and probably excel in at least one group of criteria. Relative weaknesses in some areas may be compensated by conspicuous strengths in others.	Essays will be at least very highly competent across the board, and probably excel in at least one group of criteria. Relative weaknesses in some areas may be compensated by conspicuous strengths in others.
65-69	Pass	Scripts will demonstrate considerable competence across the range of the criteria. They must exhibit some essential features, addressing the question directly and relevantly, and offering a coherent argument substantiated with accurate and relevant evidence, the whole being	Essays will demonstrate considerable competence across the range of the criteria. They must exhibit some essential features, identifying a clear subject and offering a coherent argument based on accurate primary evidence and textual analysis, the whole being clearly-presented.

		clearly-presented. Nevertheless, additional strengths (for instance, the sophistication of the arguments, or the quality of literary analysis) may compensate for other weaknesses.	Nevertheless, additional strengths (for instance, the sophistication of the arguments, or the quality of literary, linguistic or other analysis) may compensate for other weaknesses.
60-64	Pass	Scripts will be competent and should manifest the essential features described above, in that they must offer relevant, substantiated and clear arguments; but they will do so with less range, depth, precision and perhaps clarity. Again, qualities of a higher order may compensate for some weaknesses.	Essays will be competent and should manifest the essential features described above, but they will do so with less range, depth, precision and perhaps clarity. Again, qualities of a higher order may compensate for some weaknesses.
50-59	Pass	Scripts must show evidence of some solid competence in expounding information and analysis. But they will fall down on one or more criteria: ability to discuss the question directly; relevant citing of information; factual knowledge; knowledge of detail; organization and presentation; prose style. But they will be marred by a failure on one criterion or another: failure to discuss the question directly, irrelevant citing of information, factual error, lack of detail, or poor organization and presentation, including incorrect prose.	Essays must show evidence of some solid competence in research and analysis, but they will fall down on one or more criteria: clear argument; research and primary evidence (or relevance in its deployment); organization and presentation; prose style; adequate apparatus.
40-49	Pass	Scripts will fall down on a number of criteria, but will exhibit some vestiges of the qualities required, such as the ability to see the point of the question, to deploy information, or to offer some coherent analysis towards an argument. Such qualities will not be displayed at a high level or consistently, and will be marred by irrelevance, incoherence, error and poor organization and presentation.	Essays will fall down on a number of criteria, but will exhibit some vestiges of the qualities required, such as the ability to identify a subject, to deploy evidence found in research, or to offer some coherent analysis towards an argument. But such qualities will not be displayed at a high level or consistently, and will be marred by irrelevance, incoherence, error and poor organization and presentation.

30-39	Fail	Scripts will display a modicum of knowledge or understanding of some points, but will display almost none of the higher qualities described in the criteria. They will be marred by high levels of factual error and irrelevance, generalization and lack of information, and poor organization and presentation.	Essays will display a modicum of knowledge or understanding of some points, but will display almost none of the higher qualities described in the criteria, and will not be based on any meaningful research. They will be marred by high levels of factual error and irrelevance, generalization and lack of information, and poor organization and presentation; and they may be very brief.
Less than or equal to 29	Fail	Scripts will fail to exhibit any of the required qualities. Candidates who fail to observe rubrics and rules beyond what the marking-schemes allow for may also be failed.	Essays will fail to exhibit any of the required qualities.

3.1.3 Verification and reconciliation of marks

- a) Each script, and each section of the portfolio, is marked independently by a single marker.
- b) An individual mark is given for each question (where there is more than one question) and an overall mark is given for each paper by averaging the component marks. The mark for each paper is expressed as a whole number, rounding up from 0.5 (e.g. a mark of 39.5 would become 40).
- c) For the two sections of Prelims Paper 1, the two marks are averaged to give the overall mark for the paper, rounding up from 0.5 to a whole number.
- d) The overall mark for Prelims is given by averaging the paper marks, unless an additional adjustment has been made e.g. for impressive (or limited) range. The overall mark is not rounded up, but is expressed as a decimal.
- e) All marks and classifications are determined without recourse to viva voce (oral) examinations.
- f) All marks and classifications are agreed upon and verified by the Board of Examiners.

3.1.4 Scaling of marks

Runs of marks by individual markers are compared to ensure parity. If necessary, scaling may be used, and an explanation will be given in the Chair's report.

3.1.5 Short weight convention and departure from rubric

'Short weight' is a failure to answer the required number of questions on a paper. Where required questions have not been answered marks will be deducted and notes will not be taken into account in lieu of completed essays. Where some attempt has been made to answer a question, the examiners will mark what is there. The maximum deduction is equal to the value of the unanswered question or questions.

Where there is an infringement of rubrics, the examiners will decide on an appropriate penalty.

3.1.6 Penalties for late or non-submission of portfolio essays

Late submission will incur accumulating automatic penalties which can result in the mark for the essay being lowered by a class or more. The Proctors have ruled that computer difficulties are no excuse for late submission.

Penalties for late submissions are as follows:

Late submission	Penalty
Up to one day <i>(submitted on the day but after the deadline)</i>	-5 marks
Each additional day <i>(i.e., two days late = -6 marks, three days late = -7 marks, etc.; note that each weekend day counts as a full day for the purposes of mark deductions)</i>	-1 mark
Max. deducted marks up to 2 weeks late	-18 marks
More than 2 weeks late	Fail

Failure to submit a required element of assessment will result in failure of the assessment with any resit capped at the pass mark.

3.1.6.1 Penalties for late submission of handwritten open-book examination scripts

Candidates undertaking exams with a typed mode of completion ([see section 3.1.15](#)) have their exam responses automatically captured by the system and therefore are not able to submit late. This section applies to all candidates with a handwritten mode of completion, including those who handwrite an online exam as an exam adjustment.

Candidates should upload their submission within the time allowed for their online examination (inclusive of any additional time for exam adjustments and technical time). Candidates who access the paper later than the published start time (and who do not have an agreed alternative start time) will still need to finish and submit their work within the originally published timeframe or be considered to have submitted late. Candidates who access the paper on time but who submit their work after the published timeframe will also be considered to have submitted late.

Time	Penalty
First 5 minutes	No penalty
6 minutes onwards	Fail mark (0)

Where candidates submit their examination after the end of the specified timeframe and believe they have a good reason for doing so, they may submit a mitigating circumstances notice to examiners to explain their reasons for the late submission. The Exam Board will consider whether to waive the penalties for late submission. Penalties will only be applied after the work has been marked and the Exam Board has checked whether there are any valid reasons for late submission.

3.1.7 Penalties for under-length and over-length portfolio essays

Penalties for work that exceeds the stipulated maximum word length are as follows:

Percentage by which the maximum word count is exceeded:	Penalty (up to a maximum of –10)
5% over word limit	-1 mark
10% over	-2
15% over	-3
Each further 5% over	-1 more

There are no formal penalties for under-length work, and candidates are reminded that word-limits are not a target, but a maximum. However, work that is significantly shorter than the maximum is likely to be inadequate in its coverage and content, and will be so marked. As a rough guideline, less than three-quarters of the maximum is likely to be inadequate.

3.1.8 Penalties for under-length and over-length open-book examination scripts

Essays and commentaries should fall within the word range of 1200 to 2000 words. There will be no marks taken off for under or over-length scripts, but examiners will not read beyond 2000 words, and it should be noted that essays or commentaries of under 1200 words are unlikely to be able to display at the highest level the qualities assessed by the marking criteria.

3.1.9 Penalties for poor academic practice

Examiners may deduct marks for poor academic practice (lack of adequate referencing, poor use of citation conventions, etc) of up to 10% of the marks available.

3.1.10 Penalties for non-attendance

Failure to attend an examination will result in the failure of the assessment. The mark for any resit of the assessment will be capped at a pass.

3.1.11 Resits

Candidates who have failed a paper may have one attempt to resit that paper over the long vacation. The mark for any resit of the assessment will be capped at a pass.

3.1.12 Mitigating Circumstances

Where a candidate or candidates have made a submission, under Part 13 of the Regulations for Conduct of University Examinations, that unforeseen factors may have had an impact on their performance in an examination, a subset of the board will meet to discuss the individual notices and band the seriousness of each notice on a scale of 1-3 with 1 indicating minor impact, 2 indicating moderate impact, and 3 indicating very serious impact. When reaching this decision, examiners will take into consideration the severity and relevance of the circumstances, and the strength of the evidence. Examiners will also note whether all or a subset of papers were affected, being aware that it is possible for circumstances to have different levels of impact on different papers. The banding information will be used at the final board of examiners meeting to adjudicate on the merits of candidates.

It is likely that in most cases no further action will be required for notices in band 1. Students will be informed that their notice to examiners has been considered but that no adjustment has been made. It is also possible for there to be clear evidence of moderate or even very serious impact on a student (bands 2 or 3) but for there to be no appropriate action which the examiners can take, and therefore for no adjustment to be made.

In some cases limited action can be taken to make adjustments to individual marks and/or to the final classification. Such actions will be considered by the Board of Examiners on the basis of both the notice banding information and the scripts/submissions and marks.

Further information on the procedure is provided in the [Policy and Guidance for examiners, Annex C](#) and information for students is provided at www.ox.ac.uk/students/academic/exams/guidance.

3.1.13 Details of examiners and rules on communicating with examiners

Candidates should not under any circumstances seek to make direct contact with individual examiners regarding the content, conduct, or outcome of an examination. Any queries should be addressed to the Chair of Examiners via the Examinations Secretary. If you are unhappy with an aspect of your assessment you may make a complaint or appeal (see Section 4.6).

Examiners' reports for past years can be found on the Faculty Canvas pages.

3.1.14 Old English & Early Middle English character protocol for timed exams

Where students are using a word processor in a timed exam, it is recommended to represent Old English and Early Middle English characters as follows:

Ð or ð (eth)	dh
Þ or þ (thorn)	th
Æ or æ (ash)	ae
ȝ (yogh)	3

For portfolios and dissertations (as opposed to timed exams) the Faculty expects the correct characters to be used rather than the exam protocol, which is intended to aid rapid typing. Students choosing to use the Old English or Early Middle English characters rather than the conventions in an exam will not be penalised.

3.1.15 Mode of completion

From Trinity term 2021, all online timed exams will have a typed mode of completion. Students will not be able to request a different mode of completion on the basis of preference.

Any student who, for reasons of disability, is unable to undertake a typed exam will be able to apply through the exam adjustments process to undertake it in a different mode.

3.2 Good academic practice

Plagiarism is presenting someone else's work or ideas as your own, with or without their consent, by incorporating it into your work without full acknowledgement. All published and unpublished material, whether in manuscript, printed or electronic form, is covered under this definition.

Plagiarism may be intentional or reckless, or unintentional. Under the regulations for examinations, intentional or reckless plagiarism is a disciplinary offence. Further guidance on plagiarism can be found at <https://www.ox.ac.uk/students/academic/guidance/skills/plagiarism>

The University has also produced an extensive set of resources to help you maintain good academic practice; this can be found at www.ox.ac.uk/students/academic/guidance/skills. Further to this we would recommend all students use the online learning opportunities to develop their skills further; canvas.ox.ac.uk and more guidance on good referencing practice can be found in section 3.4 of this handbook.

3.3 Presentation and word limits of portfolio essays

Portfolio essays will be submitted online. The body of your essays should be one and a half or double-spaced.

Short quotations of a sentence or less should not be set in a paragraph by themselves. Longer quotations should be set in a separate paragraph, indented and single-spaced. Do not indent the first line of the first paragraph, or the first paragraph of a new section of the essays. Indent all subsequent paragraphs. Please remember to number the pages of your essays.

Copies of the texts or passages used must be included as an appendix to the portfolio, and the combined length of all texts you have chosen must not exceed 70 lines in total.

3.3.1 Word limits and appendices

The word limits stated for portfolio essays, extended essays and dissertations include footnotes but exclude bibliographies, appendices, and the title of the essay/dissertation. Images, tables and figures are permitted where they may usefully illustrate the argument, and may be included without having to make a special request.

If your essay or dissertation requires extensive quotation from texts in languages other than English, translations into modern English must be provided. The translations should be supplied in the main text, in square brackets, and will not be included in the word count. You must specify both the word count of your essay and also the subtraction you have made for translations.

Appendices should only be included if you are referring to unpublished evidence of primary importance (especially if it is unlikely to be readily accessible to your examiners), or for images, tables and figures. The vast majority of submissions will not require an appendix. Where an appendix is deemed necessary, every effort should be made to keep it as short as possible, and candidates are reminded that no discursive argument can be placed there. In cases of uncertainty about the inclusion of an appendix it is a good idea to consult the Chair of Examiners for advice.

3.4 References and Bibliography

The English Faculty does not impose a mandatory referencing system, though your tutors may communicate their own preferences to you in the matter of style. It is compulsory, however, to present your work in a form that complies with academic standards of precision, clarity, and fullness of reference. Whatever system you employ, please remember these three essentials:

- i) **Consistency**
Ensure that you are using the same style and format for your references throughout your work.
- ii) **Clarity**
Remember that references are included primarily as a guide for the reader. The more explicit you make your citations, the easier it is for anyone reading your work to find your sources.
- iii) **Common sense**
You will at some stage have to deal with a citation or a reference from a source which does not easily fit into a prescribed system. On these occasions, employing your own judgement will probably enable you to generate a reference in line with the others in your document.

An introduction to a common referencing system, MHRA (Modern Humanities Research Association), is included below. This is intended for guidance only, and you are free to adopt other scholarly systems if you prefer. Paying close attention to the referencing systems used in the academic publications you read is another good way to familiarise yourself with habits of scholarly presentation.

A small sample bibliography of style handbooks is also given here, and you will find copies of these in the Bodleian and the EFL, as well as many other Oxford libraries. Style handbooks will go into much greater detail about formatting and writing habits than this Faculty handbook, which only covers methods of referencing.

3.4.1 Sample bibliography of style handbooks

- * Details given here are of first editions except where noted; many of these guides have since been republished in new incarnations and you may like to seek out the most recent edition.

Gibaldi, Joseph, *MLA Style Manual and Guide to Scholarly Publishing* (New York: Modern Language Association of America, 1998)

Gibaldi, Joseph, *MLA Handbook for Writers of Research Papers* (New York: Modern Language Association of America, 1984)

Price, Glanville and Brian Richardson, *MHRA Style Guide: a Handbook for Authors, Editors and Writers of Theses* (London: Modern Humanities Research Association, 2002)

* This handbook is also available for free download from the MHRA website at <http://www.mhra.org.uk/Publications/Books/StyleGuide/index.html>.

The Chicago Manual of Style, 16th edn (Chicago; London: University of Chicago Press, 2010)

Turabian, Kate L., *A Manual for Writers of Research Papers, Theses, and Dissertations*, rev. by Wayne C. Booth, Gregory Colomb and Joseph M. Williams, 8th edn (Chicago; London: University of Chicago Press, 2013)

3.4.2 Modern Humanities Research Association (MHRA) referencing

Below is a brief explanation of two MHRA approved referencing systems:

1. MHRA (general)
2. The author-date system

Both of the systems explained below have two points of reference. Firstly, each time you use a quotation, or any other information taken directly from your source, you must place a reference within the text (in parentheses) or in a footnote. Secondly, at the end of your work you will need to include a full bibliography detailing all sources. This is the case even for a system like the first which also provides full bibliographic detail within the text.

[A guide to drawing up your bibliography](#) is provided below. Your bibliography will not count towards any word limits for assessed work, but references in the text and in footnotes will count, so you might like to consider a system (like the author-date system) which reduces the number of words contained in the reference.

3.4.2.1 MHRA (general)

The general MHRA system requires that the first reference to every book, article or other publication in your document should be given in full. Thereafter, references to the same publication may take an abbreviated, but easily identifiable, form (see below, Abbreviated references).

Books

In general, a full reference to a book would appear in a footnote and be presented in the following order, with each piece of information separated from the next by a comma. (It may not be necessary to include all of this information for every book you refer to):

1. *Author*: in the form given on the title page, and with first name preceding surname. When referring to an edition of a primary work which contains the author's name in the title, as with *The Sermons of John Donne*, it is not essential to repeat 'John Donne' before the title.

2. *Title*: in full and in italics. The initial letters of all principal words should be capitalised.
3. *Editor / translator, etc.*: in the form 'ed. by', 'trans. by', 'rev. by'.
4. *Series*: if the book belongs in a series, give the series title and volume number.
5. *Edition*: if other than the first edition, specify '2nd edn', 'rev. edn' etc.
6. *Number of volumes*: if the work is in several volumes, state this in the form '4 vols'.
7. *Details of publication*: these should be enclosed in round brackets, and take the form (Place of publication: Publisher, Year).
8. *Volume number*: in roman numerals. Where necessary, include the publication date of the volume in brackets after the volume number.
9. *Page numbers*: preceded by 'p.' or 'pp.', unless you have included a volume number.

Here are some examples of first references to books under the MHRA system:

Edmund Spenser, *The Shorter Poems*, ed. by Richard McCabe (London: Penguin, 1999), p. 221

Patrick Collinson, *The Religion of Protestants: the Church in English Society 1559-1625* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1982), pp. 7-12

Francis Bacon, *The Advancement of Learning*, ed. and with introduction, notes and commentary by Michael Kiernan, *The Oxford Francis Bacon, IV* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2000), p. 66

The Book of Margery Kempe, ed. by Barry Windeatt (London: Longman, 2000), pp. 41 – 50

Paul Strohm, *Social Chaucer*, 2nd edn (Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press, 1994), pp. 47 - 83

Chapters or articles in books

Information about a chapter or an article published in a book should be presented in the following order:

1. *Author*.
2. *Article title*: in single quotation marks and not italicised.
3. *'in'*: preceded by a comma.
4. *Title, editor and publication details of the book* as described above.
5. *First and last pages of article*: preceded by 'pp.'
6. *Page number of reference*: in parentheses and preceded by 'p.' or 'pp.'

E.g.:

Mark Thornton Burnett, "'We are the makers of manners": The Branagh Phenomenon', in *Shakespeare After Mass Media*, ed. by Richard Burt (Basingstoke: Palgrave, 2002), pp. 83–105 (p. 91).

Virginia Woolf, 'A Letter to a Young Poet', in *The Essays of Virginia Woolf: Volume 5, 1929-1932*, ed. by Stuart N. Clarke (London: Hogarth Press, 2009), pp. 306-323.

Journal articles

A reference to a journal article should be composed as follows:

1. *Author*.
2. *Article title*: in single quotation marks and not italicised.
3. *Journal title*: in italics.
3. *Series number*: in Arabic numerals, not Roman.
4. *Volume number*: in Arabic numerals, not Roman.
5. *Year of publication*: in parentheses.
6. *First and last pages of article*: without 'pp.'
7. *Page number of reference*: in parentheses and preceded by 'p.' or 'pp.'

E.g.:

Brean Hammond, 'Joseph Addison's Opera *Rosamond*: Britishness in the Early Eighteenth Century', *ELH*, 73.3 (Fall 2006), 601–629 (p. 616).

Sylvia Federico, 'Chaucer and the Matter of Spain', *The Chaucer Review*, 45.3 (2011), 299–320 (pp. 301–307).

Online resources

An increasingly large amount of academic information can be found online. When choosing whether to use an online resource, you should use your judgement in determining the quality of the material. Who has created it, and why? Is it appropriate for academic citation?

When referencing an online source, you should keep as closely as possible to the guidelines given above for printed sources. Information should be supplied in the following order:

1. *Author*
2. *Title*
3. *Title of complete work / resource*: this might be the name of the website or an online database, or might be the bibliographic details for an online journal or text
4. *Publication details*: where known, supply the volume and date
5. *Full web address, URL or DOI* : in angle brackets < > . If you can find a stable URL or the DOI listed, this is better than the sometimes very lengthy web address you will have in your browser window. Avoid using TinyURL or similar for academic citation.
6. *Date of consultation*: in square brackets
7. *Location of reference*: for example, the paragraph number or page number where supplied. Include in parentheses.

E.g.:

Rosemary O'Day, 'Family Galleries: Women and Art in the Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries', *Huntingdon Library Quarterly* 71.2 (June 2008),
 <<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1525/hlq.2008.71.2.323>>, [accessed 14 March 2011] (p. 332)

Hans J. Hillebrand, 'Reformation' in *Encyclopedia of Religion*,
<<http://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?&id=GALE%7CCX3424502608&v=2.1&u=oxford&it=r&p=GURL&w=w>>, [accessed 6 November 2010] (p. 7657)

Melvyn New, 'Sterne, Lawrence (1713 – 1768)' in *Oxford Dictionary of National Biography*,
<<http://www.oxforddnb.com/view/article/26412>>, [accessed 22 May 2011] (para. 12 – 16)

As more resources are accessed online, academic sites and databases regularly provide users with detailed bibliographic information about their content (often located at the very end of an article), which can be very useful when composing your footnotes.

Abbreviated references

After your initial, full reference, you can save space in the rest of your document by using abbreviated references to repeated sources. These abbreviated references can either be included as further footnotes, or can be placed in parentheses in the body of your document. In addition, it is permissible to include all abbreviated references to primary sources in parentheses and all abbreviated references to secondary sources as footnotes if you so choose.

Abbreviated references will normally consist of the author's name followed by the page reference (and the volume reference where necessary) as: (Strohm, 91).

Where more than one work by an author has been cited, you may also need to include a short version of the title, in addition to author, volume and page:

MHRA discourages the use of 'op. cit.', 'loc. cit.' and 'ibid.'

If you are writing an essay which consistently refers to a set of primary texts by the same author, you may like to adopt a system of abbreviation. Following your first (full) citation of each text, you might say at the end of a footnote "All subsequent references are to this edition and incorporated into the body of the essay". Thereafter, you can place page numbers in parentheses within the text. If there is any ambiguity as to which primary text you are referring to, include a short title.

Alternatively, if you are consistently referring to a set of original primary sources such as manuscripts, or again, you are relying on a particular group of texts which you need to refer to repeatedly in your work, you may include a section in your bibliography that shows the abbreviations you will use for each source. For example, if you were writing an essay about Bacon's *Advancement of Learning* and you were using the Michael Kiernan edition cited above as your primary text, you might enter it into your list of abbreviations as follows:

AL	Francis Bacon, <i>The Advancement of Learning</i> , ed. and with introduction, notes and commentary by Michael Kiernan, <i>The Oxford Francis Bacon, IV</i> (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2000)
----	---

You would then label all references to the text with AL and the page number (again, you can do this in parentheses or in footnotes).

3.4.2.2 MHRA (author – date system)

This system can save you space when you are working to a word limit. Instead of including full references in the document, all source information is contained in a comprehensive bibliography at the end of your document. Such a bibliography would not be included in any word counts.

Your bibliography should be arranged in alphabetical order by author surname, and multiple works by one author should be arranged by date of publication. If two or more works by the same author share a publication date, you should distinguish between them by marking them e.g. '1995a' and '1995b'. The form of each entry should follow the guidelines below in the section on Bibliographies.

When you need to make a reference in your document, you should include it in the body of the text in parentheses. It should give the author's surname, the date of publication and the page reference, in the following form: (Colclough, 2001: 105). If your text already mentions the author's name, as in "Colclough suggests that...", you may omit the name from the reference in parentheses.

3.4.3 Citing the OED

OED Online (www.oed.com) is an online resource whose content changes every three months, when new and revised entries (along with other editorial and discursive material) are uploaded to the website. When you cite *OED Online* as your authority for a definition, or for any other information in an entry (etymology, pronunciation, range and date of illustrative quotations, etc), you need to specify two things:

(1) The date at which you accessed the website - simply attach the words 'accessed MONTH DAY YEAR' as appropriate to whatever information you cite from the dictionary (2) The date at which the content you cite was published.

Currently, every entry on the website is displayed with an additional central bar, bearing either red or blue rubric, which specifies the first date and origin of the entry. Blue rubric indicates the entry has been revised since 2000 and is up-to-date. Red rubric warns you that the entry was first inserted in the dictionary many years ago and may not have been fully updated.

The noun *relic*, for example, is accompanied by blue rubric stating 'This entry has been updated (OED Third Edition, December 2009)'. So it is a reliable up-to-date entry, and when citing it you should specify the word itself, its grammatical form, the date at which the entry was updated, and your date of access, along with (if relevant) the sense number of the definition you're referring to:

e.g. *relic*, n., sense 3d: 'An old, outmoded, or outdated person or thing; someone or something left over from an earlier era, or having the characteristics of a former time', *OED Online* (revised entry Sept 2009, accessed MONTH DAY YEAR).

You may also find it relevant to quote or otherwise take note of the accompanying label, in this case '*colloq. (humorous or derogatory)*'. Note that there is no need to cite the URL.

By contrast, *slang* n³ is marked with red rubric stating 'This entry has not yet been fully updated (first published 1911)'. This alerts you that the entry may be significantly out of date. The definition of sense 1 reads 'The special vocabulary used by any set of persons of a low or disreputable character;

language of a low and vulgar type'. No dictionary of English published today would intentionally incorporate value judgements in its definitions, and this definition (and its vocabulary) is significantly out of line with current linguistic thinking about slang and its users. For an up-to-date definition of *slang* you need to use either a good quality recently published print dictionary or a reliable online equivalent (to find this via *OED Online* itself, see the link below the red rubric to *Oxford Dictionaries Online* (<http://oxforddictionaries.com>), which defines the word as follows: 'a type of language consisting of words and phrases that are regarded as very informal, are more common in speech than writing, and are typically restricted to a particular context or group of people'.

When citing red rubric entries you should be sure to specify the date of first publication, e.g.

slang *n*³, sense 1a: 'The special vocabulary used by any set of persons of a low or disreputable character; language of a low and vulgar type', *OED Online* (entry first published 1911, accessed MONTH DAY YEAR)

As before, there is no need to cite the URL.

Further information on the OED Online and how to cite material from it may be found on the Faculty Canvas page.

3.4.4 Citation of Objects in Written Work

Illustrations may be gathered in one place at the end of the work, or, if you prefer, incorporated with the text. Captions within the text, and 'List of Illustrations' at the end of the essay, should contain the same information but captions should minimally include the following:

1. artist/architect/maker /manufacturer (e.g. Meissen)
2. title of work/name of building/object description (e.g. teapot)
3. date of production (date range or century acceptable)
4. present location
5. brief reference for the source of the illustration

The 'List of Illustrations' should include the following information, in the recommended order:

1. artist/architect/maker
2. title of work/name of building/object description
3. size (metric)
4. medium (e.g. engraving; ceramic; textile; mixed media)
5. date of production
6. present location

7. brief reference for the source of the illustration (e.g., your own photograph, a museum photograph, copied from a book or the internet – if the last, give URL as you would for written work).

You should illustrate your work carefully since good illustrations can be vital to supporting your arguments. Wherever possible, you should use good quality, high-resolution illustrations of images, objects or buildings discussed at any length in the text. Illustrations can be in black and white; colour illustrations are only necessary if used to support a specifically ‘colour-related’ point in your argument or discussion. Captions can simply be numbered sequentially as Fig. 1, Fig. 2, etc., since the reader will be able to refer to the ‘List of Illustrations’ for the full information. Make sure you refer to your illustrations at appropriate points in your text and argument, with the relevant figure number in brackets, thus: (Fig. 10).”

Captions and lists of illustrations do not count as part of the overall word limit; neither do illustrations themselves.

3.4.5 Text Analysis Tools

When citing text analysis tools it is sufficient to simply state the tool which has been used, in the body of the text in parentheses.

For example:this passage has a Gunning Fog Index of 18.2 (LexTutor)

3.4.6 Bibliographies

As with referencing, the format of your bibliography may vary according to the system you employ. Again, the most important thing is to maintain consistency in the way you present your sources in your bibliography.

If you have been using the MHRA referencing system outlined above, each item in your bibliography can be presented in much the same way as for the first full reference. The principal difference is that it is general practice to reverse the author’s surname and first name, as in the example below. When a work has more than one author or editor, you need only invert the first named author.

E.g.:

Berg, Christian, Frank Durieux, and Geert Lernout, eds., *The Turn of the Century: Modernism and Modernity in Literature and the Arts* (Antwerp: DeGruyter, 1995)

Caws, Mary Ann, ed., *Mallarmé in Prose*, trans. by Rosemary Lloyd and Mary Ann Caws (New York: New Directions, 2001)

Page numbers are not required in a bibliography unless you are listing an article or chapter that appears within another publication.

Your bibliography should be ordered alphabetically and thereafter by date of publication. Do not include full stops after each item in the list.

It is common, but not required, to divide your sources into primary and secondary works.

3.4.7 Online Resources

The English Faculty Library (EFL) has produced an online guide covering referencing and bibliography. It should be used as a supplement to the information given in this handbook.

<https://libguides.bodleian.ox.ac.uk/english/referencing>

The EFL also runs training sessions on referencing, which will be advertised.

4 ABOUT THE FACULTY

4.1 Key Contacts and the Faculty Office

These notes of guidance will provide you with information about the English Language and Literature Prelims course, but if you do have any enquiries, the following people are available to assist:

- Your college tutors
- Lis Allen, Academic Administration Officer, 01865 2 71540, undergrad@ell.ox.ac.uk
- Andy Davice, Academic Administrator, 01865 2 71930, andy.davice@ell.ox.ac.uk
- Angie Johnson, Examinations Secretary, 01865 2 81191, angie.johnson@ell.ox.ac.uk
- Dr Rebecca Beasley, Director of Undergraduate Studies/Director of Teaching, rebecca.beasley@ell.ox.ac.uk
- Dr Nicholas Perkins, Director of Diversity and Equality, nicholas.perkins@st-hughs.ox.ac.uk

The Director of Undergraduate Studies, Academic Administrator, and Academic Administration Assistant are also available and happy to be contacted should you have any concerns or feedback about the course.

Students with a disability, as well as students who develop any health issues during the course of their studies, are invited to make contact with Andy Davice, the Academic Administrator and Disability Coordinator, if they have any questions or concerns. The Disability Lead for the Faculty is Professor Ros Ballaster (who is also Chair of the Faculty Board).

Harassment Advisors

Dr Stefano-Maria Evangelista, stefano-maria.evangelista@trinity.ox.ac.uk
Professor Laura Marcus, laura.marcus@ell.ox.ac.uk

The Faculty is committed to creating a happy and healthy working environment, where everyone is treated with respect and dignity. We do not tolerate any form of harassment or bullying.

The Harassment Advisors offer confidential support to all members of the Faculty. Seeking support from one of our Advisors is not the same as making a complaint – this is an informal opportunity to seek confidential advice. In some instances this may be enough to resolve the issue. In other cases, should you decide to make a complaint, the Harassment Advisor can be a valuable source of support and guidance. Alternatively, if you do not feel comfortable talking to someone from within the Faculty, you can access the University's anonymous Harassment Line.

Email: harassment.line@admin.ox.ac.uk

Telephone 01865 (2)70760

The English Faculty Office is located in the St Cross Building, beneath the Library, and will be able to assist with logistical queries about the lecture list and lecture room locations. During term-time (including week 0 and week 9) the office is open every weekday from 9.00 to 5.30 (4.30 on Fridays). In the vacations, the office is open 9.00 to 5.00 (4.30 on Fridays).

You can also call the office on 01865 271 055 or e-mail english.office@ell.ox.ac.uk.

Other useful contact numbers:

Faculty Library – efl-enquiries@bodleian.ox.ac.uk	(2)71050
Bodleian Main Desk – reader.services@bodleian.ox.ac.uk	(2)77162
St Cross Building Porters' Lodge (for lost property)	(2)71481
Oxford University Computing Services – contact@it.ox.ac.uk	(2)73200
Oxford Student Union (Oxford SU) – advice@ousu.ox.ac.uk	(2)88452
University Counselling Service – counselling@admin.ox.ac.uk	(2)70300
Nightline (student run service)	(2)70270
Samaritans (external number)	01865 722122

4.2 Committees and Decision-making within the Faculty

Senior members of the Faculty (i.e. academic staff) are invited to attend meetings of the Faculty (once a term), where key items are discussed. Strategic decisions are taken by the Faculty Board (which meets twice a term), in consultation with the Faculty, and all other committees report to the Faculty Board. The Board is made up of 20 individuals, who are elected from all members of the Faculty, and includes a graduate and an undergraduate junior member.

There are also two joint consultative committees (made up of academics and students) where student representatives are given a chance to raise issues for the attention of the Faculty. There is one JCC for graduates and one for undergraduates. See section 4.3.1 below.

Changes to the courses are typically discussed at the Teaching Committee, and then referred to the Faculty Board for approval (with consultation with the Faculty, if necessary). All significant changes to courses must be agreed by the University's Education Committee, published in the *Gazette* and amended in the *Examination Regulations*.

On a day to day basis, the Faculty is managed by the Chair of the Faculty Board and the Faculty Head of Administration and Finance. The academic officers for 2019-2020 are:

Professor Ros Ballaster (Mansfield), Chair of the Faculty Board

Dr Rebecca Beasley (Queen's), Director of Undergraduate Studies/Director of Teaching

Dr Patrick Hayes (St John's), Director of Taught Graduate Studies

Dr Lynn Robson (Regent's Park), Director of Undergraduate Admissions

Professor Daniel Wakelin (St Hilda's), Director of Doctoral Studies

Professor Helen Small (Merton), Director of Research

4.3 The Undergraduate Joint Consultative Committee (JCC)

The Joint Consultative Committee meets once a term, on Wednesday of fifth week. The Committee comprises a number of Faculty post-holders, including the Director of Undergraduate Studies, a library representative, and up to two undergraduate representatives from each college.

The Committee considers all aspects of Faculty activity that affects Undergraduates, for example: syllabus, teaching and examining arrangements and library facilities (though there is also a committee for library provision which deals in greater detail with the latter). The JCC also provides members for the various other committees and bodies on which students are represented (the English Faculty and Faculty Board, Teaching Committee, the joint schools' committees and the Committee for Library Provision in English). The JCC will have various items of discussion referred to it by Faculty Board and other committees for consideration, but JCC members, and the students whom they represent, can also ask for items to be put on the agenda for consideration. If you wish to serve on the JCC, you should talk to the senior English tutor in your college – they will usually be able to advise you on how nominations are made in your college.


4.4 Evaluation and feedback


Students on full-time and part-time matriculated courses are surveyed once per year on all aspects of their course (learning, living, pastoral support, college) through the Student Barometer. Previous results can be viewed by students, staff and the general public at:

www.ox.ac.uk/students/life/feedback

Final year undergraduate students are surveyed instead through the National Student Survey. Results from previous NSS can be found at www.unistats.com.

4.5 The St Cross Building





The accessible entrance to the St Cross Building is via an access ramp at the front of the building, with a lift connecting all floors. Any students with access queries, or needing assistance from a porter, can contact the Facilities Manager on 01865 271 480.

Smokers please note that the only designated smoking area for the St Cross Building is on the top balcony, where a cigarette bin is provided. Please do not smoke on the main steps of the St Cross Building or in the areas under the overhang of the building at ground level.

4.6 Complaints

Complaints and academic appeals within the Faculty of English

If you have a complaint, an informal discussion with the person immediately responsible for the issue that you wish to complain about (and who may not be one of the individuals identified below) is often the simplest way to achieve a satisfactory resolution.

Many sources of advice are available from colleges, faculties/departments and bodies like the Counselling Service or the Oxford Student Union Student Advice Service, which have extensive

experience in advising students. You may wish to take advice from one of those sources before pursuing your complaint.

General areas of concern about provision affecting students as a whole should be raised through Joint Consultative Committees or via student representation on the Faculty's committees.

Complaints

If your concern or complaint relates to teaching or other provision made by the Faculty, then you should raise it with Director of Undergraduate Studies (Dr Rebecca Beasley). Complaints about departmental facilities should be made to the Departmental administrator (Ms Sadie Slater). If you feel unable to approach one of those individuals, you may contact the Head of Faculty (Professor Ros Ballaster). The officer concerned will attempt to resolve your concern/complaint informally.

If you are dissatisfied with the outcome, you may take your concern further by making a formal complaint to the Proctors under the University Student Complaints Procedure (<https://www.ox.ac.uk/students/academic/complaints>).

If your concern or complaint relates to teaching or other provision made by your college, you should raise it either with your tutor or with one of the college officers, Senior Tutor, Tutor for Graduates (as appropriate). Your college will also be able to explain how to take your complaint further if you are dissatisfied with the outcome of its consideration.

Academic appeals

An academic appeal is an appeal against the decision of an academic body (e.g. boards of examiners, transfer and confirmation decisions etc.), on grounds such as procedural error or evidence of bias. There is no right of appeal against academic judgement.

If you have any concerns about your assessment process or outcome it is advisable to discuss these first informally with your subject or college tutor, Senior Tutor, course director, director of studies, supervisor or college or departmental administrator as appropriate. They will be able to explain the assessment process that was undertaken and may be able to address your concerns. Queries must not be raised directly with the examiners.

If you still have concerns you can make a formal appeal to the Proctors who will consider appeals under the University Academic Appeals Procedure (<https://www.ox.ac.uk/students/academic/complaints>).

4.7 Career development

A number of English graduates (about 7 %) choose to undertake research, while many more use the communication and analytical skills they develop at Oxford in a range of careers including advertising, acting, publishing, teaching, librarianship, public relations, journalism, the legal profession, management consultancy and finance.

The University Careers Service (www.careers.ox.ac.uk) is open to all students from the beginning of your study. The service is useful for identifying work experience or vacation jobs, and whether you

have a clear idea of future career possibilities or not it is worth familiarising yourself with the wide range of advice and events on offer.

Supplementary talks and events at the Faculty will be advertised as appropriate.

5 APPENDICES

5.1 Equality and Diversity at Oxford

‘The University of Oxford is committed to fostering an inclusive culture which promotes equality, values diversity and maintains a working, learning and social environment in which the rights and dignity of all its staff and students are respected. We recognise that the broad range of experiences that a diverse staff and student body brings strengthens our research and enhances our teaching, and that in order for Oxford to remain a world-leading institution we must continue to provide a diverse, inclusive, fair and open environment that allows everyone to grow and flourish.’

University of Oxford Equality Policy

As a member of the University you contribute towards making it an inclusive environment and we ask that you treat other members of the University community with respect, courtesy and consideration.

The Equality and Diversity Unit works with all parts of the collegiate University to develop and promote an understanding of equality and diversity and ensure that this is reflected in all its processes. The Unit also supports the University in meeting the legal requirements of the Equality Act 2010, including eliminating unlawful discrimination, promoting equality of opportunity and fostering good relations between people with and without the ‘protected characteristics’ of age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion and/or belief, sex and sexual orientation. Visit our website for further details or contact us directly for advice: <https://edu.web.ox.ac.uk/harassment-advice> or equality@admin.ox.ac.uk.

The Equality and Diversity Unit also supports a broad network of harassment advisors in departments/faculties and colleges and a central Harassment Advisory Service. For more information on the University’s Harassment and Bullying policy and the support available for students visit: <https://edu.web.ox.ac.uk/harassment-advice>

There are a range of faith societies, belief groups, and religious centres within Oxford University that are open to students. For more information visit: edu.admin.ox.ac.uk/religion-and-belief-0

5.2 Student Welfare and Support Services

The Disability Advisory Service (DAS) can provide information, advice and guidance on the way in which a particular disability may impact on your [student](#) experience at the University and assist with organising disability-related study support. For more information visit: www.ox.ac.uk/students/shw/das

The Counselling Service is here to help you address personal or emotional problems that get in the way of having a good experience at Oxford and realising your full academic and personal potential. They offer a free and confidential service. For more information visit: www.ox.ac.uk/students/shw/counselling

While working remotely due to the pandemic, the Disability Advisory Service and the Counselling Service are both offering virtual consultations.

A range of services led by students are available to help provide support to other students, including the peer supporter network, the Oxford SU's Student Advice Service and Nightline. For more information visit: www.ox.ac.uk/students/shw/peer

Oxford SU also runs a series of campaigns to raise awareness and promote causes that matter to students. For full details, visit: <https://www.oxfordsu.org/communities/campaigns/>

There is a wide range of student clubs and societies to get involved in - for more details visit: www.ox.ac.uk/students/life/clubs

5.3 Lecture Recording

The provision of online lectures this year is a response to an exceptional situation. Please note that these recordings and all attendant online materials may be used only for your personal and private study, and must not be passed on to any other person (except for transcription purposes, if required due to the nature of a disability, in which case they can only be provided to the transcriber), nor reproduced or published in any form (this includes, but is not limited to, the internet and hard copy publication). Any breach of this agreement or the University's policy on the recording of lectures and other formal teaching sessions will be regarded as a disciplinary offence.

When social distancing measures no longer prevent the delivery of live, in person lectures, the Faculty will return to its former position on lecture recording, which is provided here for your information:

The Faculty supports the primary function of the lecture as a live teaching and learning event, and recognizes that the presence of the lecturer and students together has clear pedagogical benefits.

An important exception to this is where students require lectures to be recorded as part of their Student Support Plan (under the Equality Act). In these cases, lecturers will be informed in advance. The recording is only available to the individual student, who can view it via Canvas. The lecture is deleted at the start of the following term.

If Faculty members choose to have their lectures captured and available to all students, then the lectures will be available for one week only. They cannot be downloaded. Faculty members are under no obligation to do this.

Students are forbidden to download, share, copy, record, or otherwise re-broadcast a recorded lecture. The lecturer retains intellectual property rights.

5.3.1 EULA (End User Licencing Agreement)

5.3.1.1 About this licence

*This user licence sets out the terms on which you may use a recorded lecture made available via Canvas on the English Faculty Panopto pages (the **service**). By continuing to view lectures recorded and provided by the English Faculty, you are agreeing to this user licence and its conditions.*

5.3.1.2 Permitted use

All rights in a lecture made available on or through the service are reserved. You may access recorded lectures only for the purposes of your own private study and non-commercial research, provided you acknowledge any use of the lecture in accordance with academic custom and any rules or guidance issued by the University. You must not download, broadcast or copy any lecture, or make copies or access details available to another person, or make an adaptation of a lecture, unless specifically authorised in writing or permitted under applicable intellectual property laws.

No other personal recording of lectures is permitted except by authorisation of the lecturer, or by recommendation in a Student Support Plan (SSP) from the Disability Advisory Service.

5.3.1.3 Disclaimer

The views expressed in any lecture are those of the people making them, and do not necessarily reflect the views of the University or the English Faculty. The English Faculty does not guarantee that the service, or any content on it, will always be available or be uninterrupted. Access to the service is permitted on a temporary basis. The English Faculty may suspend, withdraw, discontinue or change all or any part of the service without notice. The English Faculty will not be liable to you if for any reason the site is unavailable at any time or for any period.

If you have any questions or concerns about this licence, please contact undergrad@ell.ox.ac.uk

5.3.2 Notice of Recording

Please be aware that lectures may be recorded in audio format. These recordings may be used by the Faculty of English and accessed via Canvas by students.

At no time will audience members be intentionally recorded, but recordings will generally start and stop automatically on the hour – so conversations held next to the desk, immediately before or after a lecture, may be picked up. Audio pickup is limited by the reach of the microphone at the desk; this may include some questions from the audience.

No other personal recording of lectures is permitted except by authorisation of the lecturer, or by recommendation in a Student Support Plan (SSP) from the Disability Advisory Service.

For more information about lecture recording at the Faculty of English, please contact undergrad@ell.ox.ac.uk.

5.4 EMERGENCY INFORMATION

If the fire alarm sounds, walk immediately to the front car park on St Cross Street. Do not run, or stop to collect possessions. Do not attempt to re-enter the building until authorised to do so by Faculty staff.

In the unlikely event of an armed incident, leave the area as quickly as possible. The key advice is to:

- RUN - to a place of safety. This is better than trying to surrender or negotiate.
- HIDE - it is better to hide than confront. Barricade yourself in, turn phones to silent and use only when it is safe to do so.
- TELL – the police by calling 999.

5.4.1 Oxford University Security Services

OUSS Website: <http://www.admin.ox.ac.uk/ouss/>

General Enquiries (24 hours) & non-emergency incident reporting: (0)1865 (2) 72944

Emergency (24 hours): (0)1865 (2) 89999

E-Mail: security.control@admin.ox.ac.uk